1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	ROBERT T. EGLET, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3402	
2	CASSANDRA S.M. CUMMINGS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11944	
3	EGLET ADAMS 400 S. Seventh St., Suite 400	
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
5	(702) 450-5400; Fax: (702) 450-5451 eservice@egletlaw.com	
6	MATTERIAL CHARD DO	
7	MATTHEW L. SHARP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4746	
8	MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD. 432 Ridge Street	
9	Reno, NV 89501 (775) 324-1500; Fax: (775) 284-0675	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13	JOHN BAMFORTH, ALISE	CASE NO.: 2:21-cv-00712-JAD-NJK
14 15	BAMFORTH, JESSICA ENAMORADO, CYNTHIA LIERA, individually and on	
	behalf of all those similarly situated,,	
16	Plaintiff,	
17	VS.	
18 19	STATE FARM MUTUAL	ECF No. 15
	AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE	
20	COMPANY, DOES 1 through 10,,	
21	Defendants.	
22	CITIDILI ATLONI AND	
23	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS	
24	(Thir	d Request)
25	COME NOW Plaintiffs JOHN I	BAMFORTH, ALISE BAMFORTH, JESSICA
26 27	ENAMORADO and CYNTHIA LIERA,	, and Defendant STATE FARM MUTUA
27	AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,	by and through their respective attorneys of record
20		plication to extend the time for Plaintiffs to file a
	1	

## EGLET TATADAMS

///

Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

This case is one of eight putative class action matters currently pending before the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada. Plaintiffs have specifically alleged that the insurers' different adjustments to their customers' auto insurance premiums in response to the COVID-19 pandemic were somehow unlawful. Defendant has filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint based on pleading challenges, asserting that Plaintiffs are barred from pursuing their claims in civil court, along with arguments on the merits. Similar motions are currently pending in several of the other putative class action cases and Plaintiffs' counsel needs sufficient time to analyze and brief the issues in each of these eight pending matters.

Given the potential dispositive nature of Defendants' motion and the number of pending matters to which Plaintiffs' counsel must respond, additional time is required to adequately brief these issues.

 Ш

1	The parties therefore agree to the follow	ving briefing schedule:
2	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss:	June 7, 2021
3	Defendant's Reply:	June 24, 2021
4 5	Dated this 10th day of May 2021.	Dated this 10th day of May 2021.
6	EGLET ADAMS	ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
7 8 9	/s/ Robert T. Eglet ROBERT T. EGLET, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3402 eservice@egletlaw.com	/s/ Karie N. Wilson J. BRUCE ALVERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1339 KARIE N. WILSON, ESQ.
10	CASSANDRA S.M. CUMMINGS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11944	Nevada Bar No. 7957 6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy, Ste. 200
11	- and - MATTHEW L. SHARP, ESQ.	Las Vegas, NV 89149 702-384-7000 Phone
12	Nevada Bar No. 4746  MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD.	702-385-7000 Fax Attorneys for Defendant
13	432 Ridge Street Reno, NV 89501	State Farm Mutual Automobile
14	(775) 324-1500; Fax: (775) 284-0675	Insurance Company
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		
17	ORDER TO EXTEND TIM	E FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE OPPOSITION
18 19	TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND APPROVAL OF BRIEFING	
20	SCH	EDULE
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated May 11 , 2021.	
23		2084
24		U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		